

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The drawings were objected to as not showing the feature in claim 9. As Claim 9 has been canceled, the objection is moot and there is believed to be no need for a corrective drawing sheet.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claim 2 has been indicated as allowable. Claim 1 has been amended to include therein all of the elements of claim 2, whereby claim 1 is now claim 2 in independent form. The rejection of claim 1 is moot.

Claims 3 and 4 have been amended to be dependent upon claim 1. Claims 10-13 are redundant in view of the incorporation of claim 2 in claim 1 and have been canceled.


It is noted that there is no specific rejection stated of claims 5-8 but because they have been indicated as rejected and not allowed, and in view of a comment about those claims in the explanation of the rejection of claim 1, it is understood that those claims have been rejected over the same prior art as claim 1. However, with the Amendment of claim 1 to include the elements of claim 2, claims 5-8 are now dependent upon amended claim 1 and it is submitted that they are allowable.

Claims 2-4 and 9-13 were indicated as allowable. Claim 9-13 have been canceled. Claim 2 has been incorporated into claim 1.

Claims 1 and 3-8 remain and their allowance is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 6, 2007:

Robert C. Faber


Name of applicant, assignee or
Registered Representative


Signature

September 6, 2007

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Faber
Registration No.: 24,322
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:mjw